

Competition Commission of India

National Workshop on Competition Law

REGULATION OF COMBINATIONS

K.K. Sharma

Advisor (Law)

13-06-2008



Regulation of Combinations

- Combination may be through acquisition of shares/ voting rights or assets, acquiring of control and mergers & amalgamation (S 5)
- Combination must be above thresholds and meet domestic nexus criterion (S 5)
- Thresholds defined in terms of total assets or turnover and domestic nexus (S 5)



Regulation of Combinations (cont.)

- Mandatory pre-notification (S 6 (2))
- Suspensive regime (S 6 (2A))
- Assessment of anti-competitive effect based on listed factors (S 20(4))



Difficulties in Enforcement

- Economy is dynamic- even during review being done
- ■The viability of proposed combination may change in the review period
- □ Challenge to ensure that combination remains viable after review
- The review periods should gradually be brought down



Features

- Compulsory Notification
- Form of Notification
- □ Timings of Notification
- Consequences of not filing notification
- Detailed procedure for inquiry
- Compares well with Recommended Practices of ICN



Combination Defined

- Acquisition of control, shares, voting rights or assets (S 5(a))
- Acquiring of control already having direct or indirect control over another enterprise in identical or substitutable goods/services (S 5(b))
- Merger or amalgamation (S 5(c))



Control Defined

- Inclusive definition
- Control includes controlling the affairs or management by
 - one or more enterprises, either jointly or singly, over another enterprise or group
 - one or more groups, either jointly or singly, over another group or enterprise

(Expln (a) to S 5)



Group Defined

- Group' means two or more enterprises which, directly or indirectly, are in position to
 - exercise 26% or more of voting rights in other enterprise or
 - appoint more than 50% of members of the board of directors in the other enterprise
 - control the management or affairs of the other enterprise

(Expln (b) to S 5)



Value of Assets

- By taking book value of the assets shown in audited BOA in immediately preceding FY w.r.t. FY of date of merger
 - reduced by depreciation

- Value of assets to include
 - brand value, goodwill, copyright, patent, collective mark, registered trade mark or similar other commercial rights

(Expln (c) to S 5)



Indian Thresholds

		Assets Total (In India)	Turn over Total (In India)
Only in India	No Group	Rs. 1000 cr	Rs. 3000 cr
	Group	Rs. 4000 cr	Rs. 12000 cr
In and outside India	No Group	US \$ 500 m (Rs. 500 cr) (Rs. 2000 cr)	US \$ 1500 m (Rs. 1500 cr) (Rs. 6000 cr)
	Group	US \$ 2000 m (Rs. 500 cr) (Rs. 8000 cr)	US\$ 6000 m (Rs. 1500 cr) (Rs. 24000 cr)



Thresholds – a Comparison

Except where indicated otherwise, figures, rounded to full numbers, are in US dollars 1\$ = Rs 40/-

			1
Country	Domestic Turnover	World Wide Turnover	Group
Belgium	145 m (DN 58 m)		
Canada			400 m*
E.U.		7236 m (DN 362 m – Community)	
France		218 m (DN 73 m)	
Germany		724 m (DN 36 m)	
U.S.A.	200 m		
U.K.	141 m		
India 750 m		1500 m	6000 m (World Wide)



Compulsory Wait

- □ Combining parties to wait for 210 days (S 6 (2A))
- Combination coming into effect, before 210 days, without order of Commission – Void
- □ If Commission approves combination before 210 days Combine
- ☐ If no order up to 210 days deemed approval of combination (S 31(11))



Comparison of Review Periods

Country	Stage One	Stage Two
EU	25-35 W days	90-125 W days (35+125=160 W days or 224 days in the least)
France	5-8 weeks	Additional 4 months. Further extended by 4 more weeks (thus 5 ½ Months in total)
Spain	1 month	7 months
Singapore	30 W days	120 W days (30+120=150 W days)
China	30 W days	90-150 W days
Mexico	40 C days	145 (in complex cases)
Japan	30 C days	120 C days (more if information is late)
USA	30/15 C days	
Germany	1 month	3 months (1+3= 4 months)
India	30 c days (draft regulations)	210 C days (150 w days)



Consequences of not notifying

□ Penalty – which may extend to one percent of the total turnover or the assets, whichever is higher, of such a combination (S 43A)



Inquiry

- Mandate for inquiry S 20/ S 30
- Detailed procedure of investigation S 29
- Orders of the Commission S 31
- Determining factors S 20(4)



Procedure

- Notice filed (S 6(2))
- Opinion by the Commission
- □ Prima facie (1st) no AAEC
- Order of approval (S 31(1))
- If, prima facie (1st) AAEC
- Show cause notice (S 29(1))



Procedure (cont.)

- Response to show cause received (S 29(1A))
- Commission may call for DG's report
- □ Prima facie opinion (2nd) of no AAEC
 - Approval order (S 31(1))
- ☐ If prima facie opinion (2nd) of AAEC
 - Direction to publish details of combination (S 29(2))



Procedure (cont.)

- Commission may invite any person or member of the public, affected, to file written objections (S29(3))
- Commission may call for additional or other information from parties (S 29(4))
- Additional or other information furnished (S 29(5))
- Commission to proceed with the case (S 29(6))
- \square Approval (S 31(1))/ Non approval (S 31(2))



Factors for Determination

- □ Formation of opinion not arbitrary
- Based on detailed factors (S 20 (4))
- These are
 - (a) actual and potential competition through imports;
 - (b) extent of barriers to entry;
 - (c) level of combination/concentration;
 - (d) countervailing power;



Factors for Determination (cont.)

- (e) likelihood that the combination would result in the parties to the combination being able to significantly and sustainably increase prices or profit margins;
- (f) extent of effective competition likely to sustain in a market;
- (g) extent to which substitutes are available or are likely to be available in the market;
- (h) market share, individually and as a combination;



Factors for Determination (cont.)

- (j) likelihood that the combination would result in the removal of a vigorous and effective competitor (s) in the market;
- (j) vertical integration;
- (k) failing business;
- (I) innovation;
- (m) for a combination having AAEC, advantages by way of economic development;
- (n) Whether the benefits outweigh the adverse effects of combination, if any.



Fee

- Legal sanction S 6 (2)
- Fee pattern of different jurisdictions studied
- After consultative process, uniform fee model followed
- Uniform fee of Rs. 40 lakhs (R 12)



Transactions not likely to AAEC

- Through R 5 transactions having no significant competition concerns indicated
- The type of transactions covered under R 5 are



Transactions not likely to

AAEC

(cont.)

Acquisition

- of shares/ voting rights not exceeding 15%, provided not leading to control,
- of assets not directly related to business, solely as investment, or in ordinary course of business, provided not leading to control,
- of shares/ voting rights where the acquirer hold more than 50% of shares/voting rights before acquisition
- by succession, will, etc.
- of current assets in ordinary course of business



Transactions not likely to AAEC (cont.)

- in the process of under writing,
- pursuant to a bonus or right issue or sub division of shares
- pursuant to an order of the Commission
- within a group
- of 5% of shares/voting rights per year by an acquirer who has already acquired 15% or more



Transactions not likely to AAEC (cont.)

- specifically exempt under statute of parliament
- Amended or renewed tender offer
- ■Where each of at least two of the combining parties do not have assets / turnover of Rs 200 / 600 crores in India



Triggering event for notifying

- Any document indicating bonafide intention can trigger filing at the option of the person filing notice
- Flexibility for time of filing notice introduced without liability for not filing notice in time (R 6)
- This conforms with ICN best practices



Forms for notifying

- Forms (R 6)
 - simple notification forms seeking absolutely essential information
 - Two forms stipulated for notice
 - Form 1 —

30 days

- Form 2 for combinations not likely to cause AAEC including those between Indian and foreign companies- 60 days
- Form 3 for information to be filed by banks/PFIs/FIIs/ VCFs (R 7)



Time for first clearance

- The clock of 210 days begins after notifying and Commission has to decide in that time
- If, for any reason, a party chooses to use Form 2 although Form 1 only may capture the information needed for competition assessment, Commission will have to make extra efforts
- Such instances are to be discouraged in view of overall limit of 210 days and self imposed limit of 30 days (R 27)
- □ Therefore self imposed limit for 1st prima facie for Form 2 kept at 60 days (R 27)



Draft Regulations Endorsed

 Advisory Committee, consisting of experts, endorsed the draft regulations with minor changes



Recommended Practices

(non-binding)

- 1. Sufficient Nexus
- 2. Clear and objective notification thresholds
- 3. Flexibility in the timings of merger notification
- 4. Merger review periods -Six weeks / six months
- 5. Requirements for initial notification



Recommended Practices (cont.)

- 6. Conduct of merger investigations
- 7. Procedural fairness
- 8. Transparency



Recommended Practices (cont.)

- 9. Confidentiality
- 10. Inter agency coordination
- 11. Remedies
- 12. Competition agency powers and
- 13. Review of merger control provisions



Imposable Penalties

- Failure to comply with orders/ directions u/s 27, 28, 31, 32, 33, 42A and 43A fine upto Rs. one lakh per day [S 42 & 43 (S 36 (2)/(4)) / 41(2)]
- Non furnishing of information on combinations upto 1% of turnover/ assets whichever is higher (S 43A)
- Making false statement/ omission to furnish material information on combinations – not less than Rs. 50 lakh extendable to Rs. one crore (S 44)
- False statement/ omitting information fine upto Rs. one crore
- Lesser penalty (S46)



THANKS

kksharmairs@gmail.com